07/21/2022

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY OF

ARLINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF: : CR21000511-00 : CR21000512-00

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA : CR21000513-00

: CR21000514-00

: CR21000515-00 VS.

: CR21000516-00

FRANCIS ROSE : CR21000517-00

: CR21000738-00

DEFENDANT.

Wednesday,

February 23, 2022

Arlington, Virginia

The request to remove case from trial docket and hearing re motion to suppress commenced at 10:04 a.m.

**BEFORE:** 

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM T. NEWMAN, JR., JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:

KATHERINE L. MILANE, ESQ.
Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney
Office of the Commonwealth's
 Attorney for Arlington County and
 the City of Falls Church
1425 N. Courthouse Road
Suite 5200
Arlington, VA 22201
(703) 228-4410

## ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ROSE:

MOLLY NEWTON, ESQ.
Newton Turner PLLC
2111 Wilson Boulevard
8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201
(703) 677-8614

## 1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 10:04 a.m. 3 MS. MILANE: Good morning, Your Honor. 4 MS. NEWTON: Good morning, Your Honor. 5 Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: 6 MS. NEWTON: Your Honor, we attempted 7 to remove this case from the trial docket. 8 filed that motion yesterday around 11:00. 9 have forgotten, or else I just didn't hit send, to 10 the C-12 copy. 11 We're asking the Court to let the jury 12 We have a motion to suppress, it's 13 dispositive one way or the other. It will just 14 take about 30 minutes. 15 MS. MILANE: No objection from the 16 Commonwealth, Your Honor. That was my 17 understanding of how we were going to proceed with 18 this case. 19 THE COURT: All right. We'll remove it 20 from the jury, and we'll have the suppress motion 21 at the conclusion.

All right.

MS. MILANE:

22

Thank you.

1	MS. NEWTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	(A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
3	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to
4	take just a five-minute break and then we'll get
5	started.
6	MS. MILANE: Yes, Your Honor.
7	(A SHORT RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
8	MS. MILANE: Our first witness will be
9	Officer Bane.
10	MS. NEWTON: I would like to make a
11	short opening, at least to frame the issues, and
12	Mr. Rose is not here yet.
13	THE COURT: He's not here?
14	MS. NEWTON: I mean, he's not on the
15	screen. He'll be here in a moment.
16	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Francis Rose
17	is present.
18	MS. NEWTON: It is, Your Honor. Thank
19	you. I just wanted to do a brief opening just to
20	frame the issue for the Court. The facts really
21	aren't going to be in dispute, as you're going to
22	hear.

Francis, Mr. Rose, was a passenger in a car that was legally pulled over for the driver, the registered owner. His license was suspended. Officers approached the car and they immediately smelled an odor of marijuana coming out of the car.

At the time, they were allowed to search the car for the odor of marijuana, so that's what they did. They got Mr. Rose out, they got the driver out, put them on the curb, and searched the car.

When Mr. Rose was being taken out of the car, Your Honor, Officer Bane directed him to leave his he had a cross-body bag on. It was on his lap, the strap was around his shoulder. The officers directed him to leave that in the car at that point, Your Honor.

The car was searched, the bag was searched, a gun was recovered from the cross-body bag. Mr. Rose was placed under arrest and then searched incident to arrest, and cocaine and Fentanyl were found in his pocket, Your Honor.

We certainly don't contest the fact that the police had probable cause to search the car, pursuant to the automobile exception. We also understand that the law is very clear that they may search any container that's in the car, Your Honor. But our argument is that the bag, and a court of appeals has, which we will be arguing, has defined what the scope of the term person means in a probable cause search.

And a person includes a bag that's appended to him, one that's connected to him or intimately connected to him, is considered to be on his person, Your Honor.

It's our position that the automobile exception authorizes a search of the car but not the search of the person, and I don't think anybody disputes that, either.

So the question, then, for the Court is, was that bag on his person? And since it was, in fact, on his person, did the police have authority, then, to search that bag?

I understand it's now in the vehicle.

1	But the probable cause was to search the vehicle,
2	and not the person. And the bag was his person.
3	That is going to be our argument, Your
4	Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	THE CLERK: Officer Bane. Please raise
7	your right hand.
8	WHEREUPON,
9	PATRICK BANE
10	WAS CALLED FOR EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE
11	COMMONWEALTH, AND AFTER HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY
12	SWORN, ASSUMED THE WITNESS STAND AND WAS EXAMINED
13	AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. MILANE
16	Q Good morning, Officer. Could you
17	please state your name?
18	A My name is Patrick Bane.
19	Q How are you employed?
20	A Police officer, Arlington County.
21	Q How long have you been employed at the
22	Arlington County Police Department?

1	A	Since September, 2019.
2	Q	Were you so employed on October 17,
3	2020?	
4	А	Yes, ma'am.
5	Q	Were you on duty and displaying your
6	badge of aut	thority?
7	А	Yes, ma'am.
8	Q	On that date, did you observe a black
9	Chevrolet Ca	amaro in the 2300 block of Richmond
10	Highway?	
11	A	Yes, ma'am.
12	Q	Is that Arlington County?
13	A	Yes, ma'am.
14	Q	What initially drew your attention to
15	the vehicle?	?
16	A	Initially, while sitting at the
17	intersection	n, I typically run all registrations
18	while stoppe	ed at red lights, to conduct checks of
19	registration	ns, warrant checks, all that sort of
20	stuff. Ran	that tag through NCIC and the
21	registered o	owner returned suspended.
22	Q	Okay. Based on that information, what

1	did you do?	
2	A	I conducted a traffic stop in the 2300
3	block of Cry	ystal Drive.
4	Q	Okay. Did you approach the vehicle?
5	A	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q	How many occupants were in the vehicle?
7	A	Two.
8	Q	Do you see any of those occupants
9	today?	
10	A	Yes, ma'am.
11	Q	Could you please identify them by
12	article of o	clothing?
13	A	Mr. Rose is wearing a black mask and
14	blue top, or	n the right side of the screen.
15	Q	Please let the record note the
16	identificati	ion.
17		THE COURT: The Court would note the
18	identificati	ion.
19		BY MS. MILANE
20	Q	Thank you, Your Honor. Where was the
21	Defendant se	eated in the vehicle?
22	A	The front right passenger seat, ma'am.

1	Q Upon approach, what, if anything, did
2	you initially observe?
3	A Upon approach, I identified the driver,
4	immediately smelled a strong odor, the smell of
5	marijuana coming from inside the vehicle. I also
6	observed a blue and black bag sitting on Mr.
7	Rose's lap.
8	Q Did you request the occupants exit the
9	vehicle?
10	A After fully identifying them and other
11	officers arriving on scene, yes, ma'am.
12	Q Where was the Defendant's bag when he
13	was exiting the vehicle?
14	A It was on his lap. Once we asked him
15	to exit the vehicle, I told him to leave
16	everything in the car and he placed it on the
17	seat.
18	Q Why did you ask him to leave it in the
19	vehicle?
20	A For officer safety reasons. That bag
21	being an unknown, we don't know what's inside of
22	it, could contain weapons.

1	Q Okay. What, if anything, did Mr. Rose
2	indicate to you when he exited the vehicle?
3	Did he make any statements?
4	A I don't remember.
5	Q Okay. Did you have occasion to search
6	the vehicle?
7	A Yes, ma'am.
8	Q What, if anything, did you locate in
9	that vehicle?
LO	A We located in the vehicle, inside that
11	black and blue handbag which was on Mr. Rose's
L2	lap, was a loaded Glock 27 handgun with a 20Z
L3	round magazine in the mag well. That magazine
L <b>4</b>	contained 21 .40-caliber round bullets with one
L5	round in the chamber.
۱6	Also located in the vehicle was a
۲7	baggie of marijuana which was in a purse in the
L8	back seat area.
ا 19	Q Okay. Did you have the occasion to
20	search Mr. Rose's person?
21	A Yes, ma'am.
22	Q What, if anything, was found?

1	A In his front right change pocket I
2	found a small baggie, approximately two and a half
3	grams of a white powdery substance, which he
4	admitted to be Fentanyl.
5	Also located seven small baggies of a
6	white rock-like substance, which Mr. Rose admitted
7	to be crack, and also three additional small
8	baggies of a white powdery substance which also
9	was admitted to be Fentanyl.
10	Also, I located \$453 in cash.
11	Q Did you field test the white rock-like
12	substance?
13	A Yes, ma'am.
14	Q What test did you use?
15	A These are 904 reagent kit for cocaine
16	salts and base, which tested positive for cocaine.
17	Q Is that a test that's been approved by
18	the Department of Forensic Science?
19	A Yes, ma'am.
20	Q Moving back to that firearm for a
21	moment. In your time with the ACPD, have you had
22	any firearms training?

1	A Yes, ma'am.
2	Q Can you please explain that for the
3	Court?
4	A Yes, ma'am. I've had
5	MS. NEWTON: Your Honor, we'll
6	stipulate it was an for purposes of the motion
7	to suppress
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MS. NEWTON: It was an operable
10	firearm.
11	MS. MILANE: Nothing further at this
12	time, then, Your Honor.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. NEWTON
15	Q Good morning, Officer Bane. Who was
16	the driver?
17	A The driver was Ms. Luisa Alazar.
18	Q When you approached the car, did she
19	and Mr. Rose roll down their windows?
20	A I approached on the driver's side.
21	Officer Gatto approached on the passenger side.
22	Both windows, I do believe, went down. Officer

1	Gatto identified the passenger.	
2	Q Then you immediately smelled an odor of	
3	marijuana coming from the car, correct?	
4	A From the car itself.	
5	Q Can you describe, you said it was a	
6	black and blue bag. Are we talking about a men's	
7	purse, or are we talking about a bag?	
8	A Yes, ma'am. It was like a handbag, or	
9	like a man's purse sort of bag.	
10	Q And it had a strap, correct?	
11	A From what I remember, Yes, ma'am.	
12	Q And we're going to call it a cross-body	
13	bag. Is that a fair characterization of it? And	
14	the strap was in fact around Mr. Rose, correct?	
15	A I do not remember, ma'am.	
16	Q All right. Quick indulgence.	
17	When you got the driver out of the car,	
18	did you smell marijuana on her?	
19	A Directly from her person?	
20	Q Yes.	
21	A I do not remember, ma'am.	
22	Q Do you remember testifying at the	

1	preliminary	hearing about that.
2	A	Yes, ma'am.
3	Q	Do you remember testifying that she did
4	in fact sme	ll of marijuana?
5	A	I do not remember, ma'am.
6	Q	Okay. What about Mr. Rose? Did he
7	smell of ma	rijuana?
8	A	I do not remember, ma'am.
9	Q	Do you remember testifying that he did
10	not smell o	f marijuana?
11	A	At the preliminary?
12	Q	Yes.
13	A	I do not remember.
14	Q	All right. You said that you told him
15	to leave his	s cross-body bag in the car for officer
16	safety, is	that correct:
17	A	Yes, ma'am.
18	Q	At the time that you got the driver and
19	Mr. Rose ou	t, you didn't have probable cause to
20	search thei	r person, did you?
21	A	Search their person?
22	Q	Yes.

1	A At that time, we were going to conduct
2	a probable cause search of the vehicle. That's
3	kind of the direction that we were going at that
4	time. Their person was not of concern, other than
5	the vehicle art that point.
6	Q But my question was, because you didn't
7	have probable cause at that time to search their
8	person, correct?
9	A I do not believe so.
10	Q All right. When you said that you ask
11	that he leave the bag in the car for officer
12	safety reasons, correct? That doesn't mean that
13	you have to search it, though, does it?
14	A The reason why the bag was searched was
15	because it was inside the vehicle, which the smell
16	of marijuana had originated from inside the
17	vehicle.
18	Due to this, any container inside the
19	vehicle could have contained that marijuana, so
20	every container was searched inside the vehicle.
21	Q I understand, but you said that you
22	told him to leave the bag in the car because of

1	officer you were testifying on direct that you	
2	did that for officer safety reasons.	
3	A Yes, ma'am.	
4	Q But that doesn't mean, officer safety	
5	reasons, you didn't have to search that bag,	
6	correct?	
7	A It was in the vehicle where the smell	
8	of marijuana was coming form, so we did search the	
9	bag.	
10	Q I understand, but my question is, as	
11	you testified, you told him to leave the bag for	
12	officer safety reasons, correct?	
13	A Yes, ma'am.	
14	Q But for officer safety reasons, you	
15	would not have had to search that bag, correct?	
16	A For officer safety reasons?	
17	Q Yes.	
18	A No.	
19	Q You could have secured it in your	
20	police car.	
21	A We asked him to leave it in the car and	
22	he did.	
21	A We asked him to leave it in the car a	ınd

1 0 I understand, but my question is, you 2 had for officer safety reasons, you have other 3 options, correct? 4 MS. NEWTON: Objection, Your Honor. 5 Asked and answered. 6 THE COURT: It's cross exam. 7 BY MS. NEWTON 8 Q You had other options. You could have 9 asked him to, you could have put it in your police car and not searched it, correct? For officer 10 11 safety reasons. 12 I understand what you're asking. Α 13 we are trained, everything that was in the vehicle 14 at the start of the stop, once we remove them from 15 the vehicle everything is left in the vehicle, 16 that is how we were trained, for officer safety reasons, taking that bag and taking custody of it, 17 18 putting it in the car was not a solution we did 19 that night. 20 I understand. But does that contrary 0 21 to your police training? 22 Α To my training? I was taught to ask to

1	leave everything in the vehicle, and leave it in
2	the vehicle, we can start in the vehicle.
3	Q You would agree that that bag was on
4	his person at the time?
5	A It was on his lap, Yes, ma'am.
6	Q And that there was a purse that was in
7	the back seat of the car.
8	A Yes, ma'am.
9	Q And that purse was searched and that's
10	where the marijuana was, correct?
11	A Yes, ma'am.
12	Q Mr. Rose never gave you consent to
13	search that bag, correct?
14	A No, ma'am.
15	Q And you never asked him for it, is that
16	right?
17	A No, ma'am.
18	Q When Mr. Rose and the driver were both
19	taken out, neither of them were patted down,
20	correct?
21	A I did not pat Ms. Luisa Alazar down,
22	from what I remember.

1	Q Okay.
2	A I do not know if Mr. Rose was patted
3	down.
4	Q And neither of them were handcuffed.
5	A No, ma'am. Not immediately.
6	Q And they were just instructed to sit on
7	the side while you conducted the search of the
8	car, is that right?
9	A Once additional officers arrived, yes,
10	ma'am.
11	Q All right. At that juncture, at least
12	with the driver, you had no reason to even pat her
13	down, correct?
14	A I guess not.
15	Q You had no information about either of
16	these people being armed and dangerous, correct?
17	A Correct.
18	MS. NEWTON: Court's indulgence.
19	BY MS. NEWTON
20	Q Maybe I asked you this already, but
21	when, I think I asked you this in the beginning,
22	when Mr. Rose was taken out of the car, you didn't

1	smell marijuana on him.
2	A I did not take him out of the car.
3	Q Okay. When you went over to search
4	him, did you smell marijuana on him?
5	A I cannot remember, ma'am.
6	Q Do you remember testifying at the
7	prelim that you didn't smell marijuana on him when
8	you were searching him?
9	A I do not remember.
10	Q You don't remember testifying to that.
11	Okay. Because if you all had smelled marijuana,
12	that would have given you probable cause to search
13	him, correct?
14	A Search the vehicle?
15	Q No, the question is, if you had smelled
16	marijuana on
17	A On his person, separately from the
18	vehicle?
19	Q Yes.
20	A Yes, but the vehicle was the primary
21	concern to search in the beginning.
22	Q I understand. But my question is, if

1	you had smelled marijuana on Mr. Rose, you could
2	have searched him. You had probable cause to
3	search him at that point.
4	A Yes, ma'am.
5	Q He was not searched. You found the
6	gun, he was placed under arrest, and then his
7	person was searched, incident to arrest. Correct?
8	A Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. NEWTON: All right. Thank you. I
10	don't have any other questions, although I would
11	like him to remain subject to recall.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. MILANE
15	Q Officer Bane, did Mr. Rose object to
16	leaving his bag in the car?
17	A No, ma'am.
18	Q Did he ever object to you searching the
19	bag?
20	A No, ma'am.
21	Q Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing
22	further.

1	THE COURT: All right. You may step
2	down.
3	A Thank you, Your Honor.
4	MS. MILANE: Could we call Officer
5	Gatto, please?
6	WHEREUPON,
7	ANTHONY GATTO
8	WAS CALLED FOR EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE
9	COMMONWEALTH, AND AFTER HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY
10	SWORN, ASSUMED THE WITNESS STAND AND WAS EXAMINED
11	AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
12	THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Good morning.
14	MS. MILANE: Good morning, Officer.
15	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. MILANE
18	Q Please state your name and occupation.
19	A Corporal Anthony Gatto, with the
20	Arlington County Police Department.
21	Q How long have you been employed with
22	the department?

1	A	Approximately six years.
2	Q	On October 17, 2020, were you still
3	employed, o	n duty, and displaying your badge of
4	authority?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	On that day, were you on patrol?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Was someone else riding with you?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Who else was that?
11	A	Officer Bane.
12	Q	Why were you riding together?
13	A	I was just field training officer that
14	day.	
15	Q	On that day, did you observe a black
16	Chevrolet C	amaro in the 2300 block of Richmond
17	Highway?	
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	In Arlington County?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Did you and Officer Bane conduct a
22	traffic sto	p?

1	A V	We did.
2	Q I	Did you approach the vehicle with
3	Officer Bane?	?
4	A 3	łes.
5	Q I	How many occupants were in the vehicle.
6	A 7	Iwo.
7	Q I	And do you see any of those occupants
8	here today?	
9	A 2	łes.
10	Q (	Could you please identify them by
11	article of cl	Lothing?
12	A F	He's wearing a blue shirt with a black
13	mask.	
14	1	MS. MILANE: Please let the record note
15	the identific	cation, Your Honor.
16	2	THE COURT: The record reflects what it
17	will, but I v	will not the identification.
18	F	BY MS. MILANE
19	Q I	Thank you, Your Honor. Where was Mr.
20	Rose seated i	in the vehicle?
21	A F	He was in the passenger seat, the front
22	passenger sea	at.

1	Q And upon approach of the vehicle, what,
2	if anything, did you initially observe?
3	A I observed an odor of marijuana coming
4	from inside the vehicle.
5	Q Okay. Did you observe anything about
6	Mr. Rose, particularly?
7	A Yes. He was sitting in the front
8	passenger seat, and there was a dark-colored bag
9	on his lap.
10	Q Did you request the occupants exit the
11	vehicle?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What, if anything, did Mr. Rose
14	indicate to you when he exited the vehicle? Did
15	you recall?
16	A I don't recall.
17	Q Did you have occasion to search the
18	vehicle?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What, if anything, was located?
21	A I located a black firearm inside the
22	dark blue bag.

1	Q	Did you have the occasion to Mirandize
2	Mr. Rose?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did he indicate that he understood his
5	rights?	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	What, if anything, did he tell you
8	about the f	irearm that you located?
9	A	That it belonged to him.
10	Q	Okay. Did he indicate to you whether
11	he had prev	riously been convicted of a felony?
12	A	Yes, he did.
13	Q	What was his answer? Had he or had he
14	not?	
15	A	He said he was a convicted felon.
16		MS. MILANE: Nothing further.
17	CRC	SS-EXAMINATION
18		BY MS. NEWTON
19	Q	Officer Gatto, the purse had a strap on
20	it, correct	?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	And the strap was around his shoulder,
	I	

1	correct?
2	A I don't recall.
3	Q Do you remember testifying at the
4	preliminary hearing?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do you remember testifying then that
7	the purse, the strap was around him?
8	A I don't recall.
9	Q When you approached the car and smelled
10	marijuana, it was coming from the vehicle,
11	correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And when you got Mr. Rose out of the
14	car, you were not able to localize the smell of
15	marijuana to his person, correct?
16	A Correct.
17	MS. NEWTON: All right. Thank you. I
18	don't have any other questions.
19	THE COURT: And redirect?
20	MS. MILANE: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
ı	

1	MS. NEWTON: Your Honor, I'm just going
2	to ask Mr. Rose one question. We can have him
3	sworn, please.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	(THE DEFENDANT WAS SWORN.)
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. NEWTON
8	Q Mr. Rose, the cross-body bag that we
9	have been talking about, was the strap around your
LO	neck when they asked you to leave it in the car?
L1	A It was around my neck like this. It
L2	was a Louis Vuitton bag and the strap was around
L3	my neck. The bag hanged down to the side.
L <b>4</b>	MS. NEWTON: All right. Thank you. I
L5	don't have any other questions.
۱6	MS. MILANE: No questions, Your Honor.
۲7	THE COURT: All right. Any questions
8	with the testimony?
ا 9	MS. NEWTON: No, Your Honor.
20	MS. MILANE: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. I'll hear
22	arguments.

MS. NEWTON: Your Honor, as I told the Court the facts are contested. The law, to some extent, is not contested. We don't dispute the fact that the officers at that time, in October of 2019, again, they wouldn't have that same probable cause now, but at the time they did in fact have probable cause to search the vehicle.

And so our analysis starts, and I think everybody agrees to that, and I also think everybody would also agree, because it's black letter law, consistent in the cases, that having probable cause to search the vehicle does not give you probable cause to search the occupants.

Otherwise, any time a vehicle is stopped, you had a reason to search and everybody would be searched, and that's not black letter law. Even the officer conceded that they didn't have probable cause at that point to search Mr. Rose.

The question then becomes, is the fact that, it's our argument that the bag was part of his person. We also agree that they can search

containers in a vehicle. That's again, wellestablished black letter law. Everybody knows
that you can search everywhere you think the drugs
might be.

So there's really only one issue in this case, Your Honor. Was the bag part of Mr. Rose's person? Because if it was part of his person, they had no right to search it.

I understand officer safety reasons.

They could have not searched the bag. They could have secured it in a police car. They had other ways to accomplish that mission. But apparently, according to Officer Bane, their training is to tell everybody to leave everything in the car.

That is absolutely contrary to the idea of the vehicle exception, because if you have something on your person and you're asked to leave it in the car, that's not in the vehicle. That's on your person. And maybe the Court is thinking this is too fine of a distinction, and that's why I want to point the Court's attention to Edwards v. Commonwealth. I have a copy up here for the

Court.

Edwards was a consent search case, but it defined the scope of the term, person. And there's some important points in there, Your Honor.

First of all, when they're talking about the person, human anatomy does not naturally contain pockets, pouches, or other places in which personal objects can be conveniently carried. To remedy this anatomical deficiency, clothing contains pockets.

In addition, many individuals carry

purses or shoulder bags to hold objects which they

wish to have with them.

Containers such as those appended to the body are so closely associated with the person that they are identified and included within the concept of one's person, Your Honor.

That case was a consent search case.

However, the court went on to analogize it to a search warrant case, a probable cause case, where what the police have to do is define and determine

what their authority is to search that person under the type of search that they're doing.

The automobile exception cases, they analogize them to search warrant cases. As the magistrate, you have the same authority that you would have if you had a search warrant issued from a magistrate, to search that car. Not to search the person, Your Honor.

With respect to the determination of what's within the scope of the person, in that case, Your Honor, they found that the bag, in that case it was a bag of socks that was just on the person's lap, they found that the bag was appended to him or intimately connected with his person.

And when that person gave consent to search his person, he therefore gave consent to search the bag, Your Honor.

And the language in Edwards that allows this court to, and I understand that that was a consent case, but the court does say, does talk about this in the course of a probable cause search, which this was, Your Honor.

Although the case we find persuades on the issue before us were decided in the context of a search pursuant to a search warrant, and not pursuant to consent. We find nothing in the reasoning or the holdings of the court deciding the issue that suggests the analogy is inapt.

Indeed, the circumstances requiring a definition of a person in the conduct of conducting a search pursuant to a search warrant, which is analogous to the automobile exception, are comparable to those cases which they enact.

In both instances, the officers had to determine whether the scope of the permitted search, one permitted on a base of a search warrant of a person; the other pursuant to consent to consent of a person, included bags, and other such items closely appended.

In both instances, the acting officer was presented the need to determine whether the authority granted to search the person extended to such items, Your Honor.

So that case defines what a person is

in the context of a probable cause search. It's irrelevant that it was a consent search or a search warrant case, because the US Supreme Court, and I have a case, US v Ross, but it analogized the probable cause search of a car to having a search warrant by a magistrate.

So in this instance, Your Honor, I certainly understand the need for officer safety, but the officers had other options that they could do. I believe that their training is, that this is a policy of the Arlington County Police

Department, it is not one that is grounded in law, that they had no probable cause.

It would be a different story, Your Honor, if they had smelled marijuana on Mr. Rose. The search then could have allowed them to have probable cause to search this person. And if the bag had been on his person, they could have searched at that point.

But that's not this case. They instructed him to leave it in there. They didn't have to search it. They had other options. It

was on his person, and they had no right at that point. They had no probable cause to search his person. And that bag, because it was appended to him, was part of his person.

And so for those reasons, Your Honor, we're asking the Court to grant the motion to suppress.

MS. MILANE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the Commonwealth would not disagree with counsel's calculous if we were talking about a situation where Mr. Rose was standing on a street holding a bag, and there's no odor of marijuana or anything else to justify a search of him.

In that case, absolutely they would not be justified in searching his person or his bag, as an extension of that. But I would direct the Court to Wyoming v. Houghton, and I have a copy here if the Court would like to see it.

Your Honor, in that case, the court held that police officers with probable cause to search a car may inspect passengers' belongings

found in the car, capable of concealing the object of the search. In that case, it was a traffic stop, the driver had visible drug paraphernalia and admitted to using.

They searched the car, they found two items that appeared to be a female passenger's, which then contained drugs and paraphernalia.

The court found that it was fine for them to have searched those items because they were in the car, it was properly subject to a probable cause search, and were capable of containing drug paraphernalia or the object of the search.

In this case, we had a legitimate stop.

No one's contesting that. Because of the odor of marijuana at the time, the officers had probable cause to search the vehicle. They had probable cause to believe that contraband, mainly marijuana, would be found in the vehicle.

And the bag that was on Mr. Rose's lap squarely qualifies as passenger's belongings for the analysis under Wyoming v. Houghton. It was

found in the car, it was capable of concealing the object of the search, which would be marijuana.

Your Honor, it's irrelevant that the police asked Mr. Rose to leave the bag in the car. Even if he had carried it out of the car with him, it is still a bag that was in the car at the time the probable cause was established. If you were to take the bag out of the car, he doesn't get to then absorb it onto his person to evade having that search.

THE COURT: I think the question here is whether or not it was actually appended to him.

MS. MILANE: Your Honor, I don't think it really matters whether it was appended to him or not, for the purpose of this calculation, because at the time, even if it's across his body in the car, it's still in the car when the probable cause is established.

So even though it's on his lap or across his chest, that bag is still the subject of the probable cause search of the items within the

vehicle. It's not relevant whether it's on his lap or not at that time

Again, I agree that if he had been standing on the side of the road and the police wanted to search his bag, they would have to have probable cause to search him and the bag by extension of his person

But just because the bag is on his person in the car, doesn't change the fact that that is an item that is then subject to the probable cause search for the marijuana based upon the odor of marijuana.

If we were to adopt that rule then a defendant could seek to evade the search of any item by saying, it was on my person, now I'm getting out of the car. And I don't think that's the way that the automobile exception and this line of cases about searching items within the car is intended to work.

It's indented to give police the ability to search the entire vehicle, including belongings, for whatever it is that they believe

is in the vehicle.

Your Honor, also, I mentioned Bond v.

Commonwealth in my brief. That was a situation

where there was a third-party consent that was

given. The court found that applied to all items

in the car, even those belonging to the defendant,

even though he wasn't the giver of the consent.

And, you know, this isn't a consent case. That case talked a little bit about if a defendant did not object to the search of a bag, which Mr. Rose also did not, he would have been deemed to waive his right to object to that search.

So even if the court does find that Mr. Rose would have had some standing to object to the search of his bag, under Bond v. Commonwealth, by not objecting when he knew it was going to be searched he has waived that right to object to the search of the bag.

I really don't think the Court needs to get that far, because again, this is a bag that's in a vehicle that's subject to a probable cause

search. Regardless of where it is in the vehicle, it is properly subject to search for the contraband.

THE COURT: And what is your response to that last issue?

MS. NEWTON: Words have meaning. It's not in the vehicle, it's on his person. If the Court is going to find that, the Court is going to have to disregard the holdings in Edwards, where it defines what, for a probable cause search, what does on the person mean?

Let's contrast the bag that's on his person. We're now talking about, we shouldn't even be saying bag on his person. We're talking about Mr. Rose. They didn't tell him to leave his pants in the car. There could have been drugs in his pants.

We need to contrast that with the search of the driver's purse that was in the back seat of the car. There's absolutely no problem with that search. That was her purse. It wasn't on her person, she voluntarily left it there, and

then they searched it.

But the search that we're talking about now is the search of Mr. Rose's person, because of the way that the court of appeals defines the scope of the term person.

I understand just because we've done it this way for a long time doesn't make it right.

And I'm not disputing the need for officer safety, as I said before, but there's other ways to accomplish this. This is an end around of being able to search. Because had Mr. Rose had that bag on his person, they had no probable cause to search him, Your Honor.

They had no probable cause to search him. There was no smell of marijuana. Officer Gatto said that. There was no smell of marijuana localized to him. The smell of it in a vehicle does not then give them the authority, or they would have. They would have patted him down.

He could have been searched at that point, and it wasn't until they found the contraband, found the gun, that then they did have

1	the authority, pursuant to arrest, and that's when			
2	the drugs were found. But at that instant, that			
3	purse is his person. Francis Rose was sitting in			
4	the car at that point, and they had no probable			
5	cause, they had no authority to search, and we'd			
6	ask that you grant our motion.			
7	THE COURT: Motion is granted.			
8	MS. NEWTON: All right. Thank you,			
9	Your Honor.			
10	MS. MILANE: Thank you, Your Honor.			
11	THE COURT: What color was that purse?			
12	MS. NEWTON: Pardon me?			
13	THE COURT: What color was the purse?			
14	MS. NEWTON: Black and blue. Do you			
15	have one similar?			
16	THE COURT: I do.			
17	MS. NEWTON: You have good taste, Mr.			
18	Rose.			
19	THE DEFENDANT: It was black and gray.			
20	MS. NEWTON: Oh, black and gray, excuse			
21	me.			
22	THE COURT: That's exactly right. It's			

1	Louis Vuitton?		
2	MS. NEWTON: Yes.		
3	THE DEFENDANT: Louis Vuitton, yes.		
4	MS. NEWTON: All right. Thank you,		
5	Your Honor.		
6	Mr. Rose, I'm going to come and see		
7	you, okay?		
8	THE DEFENDANT: Okay.		
9	MS. MILANE: Your Honor, at this time		
10	the Commonwealth would move to nolle pros the		
11	charges.		
12	MS. NEWTON: No objection.		
13	THE COURT: All right. It will be		
14	nolle pros'd, without objection.		
15	MS. MILANE: Thank you, Your Honor.		
16	MS. NEWTON: Thank you, Your Honor.		
17	(WHEREUPON, AT 11:31 O'CLOCK A.M., THE		
18	PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER WERE		
19	CONCLUDED.)		
20			
21			
22			

# Α a.m 1:17 4:2 45:17 ability 40:21 able 29:14 43:11 ABOVE-ENTITLED 45:18 **absolutely** 32:15 37:15 42:20 absorb 39:9 accomplish 32:12 43:10 **ACPD** 13:21 acting 35:18 addition 33:12 additional 13:7 21:9 admitted 13:4,6,9 38:4 adopt 40:13 agree 20:3 31:10,22 40:3 agrees 31:9 Alazar 14:17 20:21 allowed 6:7 36:16 allows 34:18 analogize 33:20 34:4 analogized 36:4 analogous 35:10 analogy 35:6 analysis 31:8 38:22 anatomical 33:10 anatomy 33:7 **answer** 28:13 answered 19:5 **Anthony** 3:5 24:7,19 anybody 7:17 apparently 32:12 appeals 7:7 43:4 **APPEARANCES** 2:1 appeared 38:6 appended 7:11 33:15 34:13 35:17 37:3 39:12.15 applied 41:5 approach 10:4 11:1.3 26:2 27:1 approached 6:4 14:18 14:20,21 29:9 approved 13:17 approximately 13:2 25:1 area 12:18 arguing 7:7 argument 7:6 8:3 31:21 arguments 3:7 30:22 **Arlington** 1:2,12 2:5,7 2:12 8:20,22 9:12 24:20 25:19 36:11 armed 21:16 arrest 6:20,21 23:6,7

44:1 arrived 21:9 arriving 11:11 art 17:5 article 10:12 26:11 asked 11:14 18:21 19:5 19:9 20:15 21:20,21 30:10 32:17 39:4 asking 4:11 19:12 37:6 Assistant 2:4 associated 33:16 **ASSUMED** 8:12 24:10 attempted 4:6 attention 9:14 32:21 Attorney 2:4,5 authority 7:21 9:6 25:4 34:1,5 35:20 43:18 44:1.5 authorizes 7:15 automobile 7:3,14 34:3 35:10 40:17

## В

back 12:18 13:20 20:7 42:19 badge 9:6 25:3 bag 6:14,18,20 7:6,10 7:19,21 8:2 11:6,12 11:20 15:6,7,9,13 16:15 17:11.14.22 18:5,9,11,15 19:17 20:3,13 23:16,19 27:8 27:22 30:8,12,13 31:21 32:6,10 34:11 34:12,13,17 36:18 37:3,12,16 38:20 39:4 39:6,8,21 40:5,6,8 41:10,16,19,21 42:12 42:14 43:11 baggie 12:17 13:2 **baggies** 13:5,8 bags 33:13 35:16 **Bane** 3:5 5:9 6:13 8:6,9 8:18 14:15 23:15 25:11,21 26:3 32:13 base 13:16 35:14 based 9:22 40:11 beginning 21:21 22:21 **BEHALF** 2:2,9 believe 14:22 17:9 36:10 38:18 40:22 belonged 28:9 belonging 41:6 belongings 37:22 38:21 40:22 **bit** 41:9 black 9:8 10:13 11:6

12:11 15:6 25:15

26:12 27:21 31:10.16 32:2 44:14,19,20 block 9:9 10:3 25:16 blue 10:14 11:6 12:11 15:6 26:12 27:22 44:14 **body** 33:16 39:17 **Bond** 41:2,16 Boulevard 2:11 break 5:4 brief 5:19 41:3 bullets 12:14

C-12 4:10 **C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S** 3:1 calculation 39:16 calculous 37:10 call 15:12 24:4 **CALLED** 8:10 24:8 **Camaro** 9:9 25:16 capable 38:1.11 39:1 car 6:2,4,6,8,11,13,16 6:18 7:3,5,15 11:16 14:18 15:3,4,17 16:15 17:11,22 18:20,21 19:10,18 20:7 21:8,22 22:2 23:16 29:9,14 30:10 32:11,14,18 34:7 36:5 37:22 38:1 38:5,10 39:1,4,5,6,8 39:18,18 40:9,16,18 41:6 42:16,20 44:4 carried 33:9 39:5 carry 33:12 case 1:15 4:7,18 32:6 33:2,19,19,21,21 34:11,12,20 35:1,22 36:3,4,20 37:15,20 38:2,14 41:9,9 cases 31:11 34:3,4 35:11 40:18 cash 13:10 cause 7:2,9 8:1 16:19 17:2,7 22:12 23:2 31:6,7,12,13,18 33:21 34:21 36:1,5,13,17 37:2,21 38:11,17,18 39:7,19,22 40:6,11 41:22 42:10 43:12,14 44:5 certainly 7:1 36:8 chamber 12:15 **change** 13:1 40:9 characterization 15:13 charges 45:11 checks 9:18,19

Chevrolet 9:9 25:16 Church 2:6 CIRCUIT 1:1 circumstances 35:7 City 2:6 clear 7:4 **CLERK** 8:6 closely 33:16 35:17 Closing 3:7 clothing 10:12 26:11 33:10 cocaine 6:21 13:15,16 color 44:11,13 come 45:6 coming 6:5 11:5 15:3 18:8 27:3 29:10 commenced 1:17 Commonwealth 1:5 2:2 4:16 8:11 24:9 32:22 37:9 41:3,16 45:10 Commonwealth's 2:4,5 comparable 35:11 concealing 38:1 39:1 conceded 31:17 concept 33:18 concern 17:4 22:21 CONCLUDED 45:19 conclusion 4:21 conduct 9:18 17:1 25:21 35:8 conducted 10:2 21:7 conducting 35:9 connected 7:11,12 34:14 consent 20:12 33:2.19 34:15,16,20 35:4,15 35:16 36:2 41:4,7,8 considered 7:12 consistent 31:11 contain 11:22 33:8 contained 12:14 17:19 38:7 container 7:5 17:18,20 containers 32:1 33:15 containing 38:12 contains 33:11 contest 7:1 contested 31:2,3 contesting 38:15 context 35:2 36:1 contraband 38:18 42:3 43:22 contrary 19:20 32:15 contrast 42:12,18 conveniently 33:9 convicted 28:11,15 copy 4:10 32:22 37:18

chest 39:21

Corporal 24:19

giver 41:7 correct 15:3.10.14 define 33:22 23:13 24:8.16 30:6 16:16 17:8,12 18:6,12 defined 7:8 33:3 Glock 12:12 **EXAMINED** 8:12 24:10 **exception** 7:3,15 32:16 18:15 19:3,10 20:10 defines 35:22 42:10 **grams** 13:3 20:13,20 21:13,16,17 43:4 34:3 35:10 40:17 grant 37:6 44:6 22:13 23:7 28:20,21 definition 35:8 excuse 44:20 granted 35:20 44:7 29:1,11,12,15,16 department 8:22 13:18 exit 11:8,15 27:10 gray 44:19,20 **COUNSEL** 8:10 24:8 24:20,22 36:12 exited 12:2 27:14 grounded 36:12 counsel's 37:10 describe 15:5 exiting 11:13 quess 21:14 County 1:1 2:5 8:20,22 determination 34:9 explain 14:2 gun 6:19 23:6 43:22 9:12 24:20 25:19 determine 33:22 35:13 extended 35:20 extension 37:17 40:7 н 36:11 35:19 different 36:14 half 13:2 course 34:21 extent 31:3 court 1:1 4:5,11,19 5:3 **DIR** 3:4 **hand** 8:7 direct 8:14 18:1 24:16 F 5:13,16,20 7:7,18 8:5 handbag 12:11 15:8 10:17,17 14:3,8 19:6 30:6 37:17 handcuffed 21:4 fact 7:1,20 15:14 16:4 23:12 24:1,13 26:16 directed 6:13,16 handgun 12:12 31:4,6,20 40:9 29:19,21 30:4,17,21 direction 17:3 facts 5:20 31:2 hanged 30:13 Directly 15:19 31:2 32:19 33:1,20 fair 15:13 He'll 5:15 34:19,20 35:5 36:3 disagree 37:10 **Falls** 2:6 hear 5:22 30:21 displaying 9:5 25:3 37:6,18,19,20 38:8 far 41:21 hearing 1:16 16:1 29:4 39:11 41:5,14,20 42:4 dispositive 4:13 February 1:10 held 37:21 42:8,8 43:4 44:7,11 dispute 5:21 31:3 felon 28:15 Highway 9:10 25:17 44:13,16,22 45:13 disputes 7:17 felony 28:11 hit 4:9 Court's 21:18 32:21 disputing 43:8 hold 33:13 female 38:6 Courthouse 2:6 disregard 42:9 Fentanyl 6:22 13:4,9 holding 37:12 Cpl 3:5 distinction 32:20 field 13:11 25:13 holdings 35:5 42:9 CR21000511-00 1:4 docket 1:16 4:7 filed 4:8 Honor 4:3,4,6,16 5:1,6 CR21000512-00 1:5 doing 34:2 find 35:1,4 41:14 42:8 5:18 6:13,17,22 7:6 drew 9:14 CR21000513-00 1:5 fine 32:20 38:8 7:13 8:4 10:20 14:5 **CR21000514-00** 1:6 **Drive 10:3** firearm 13:20 14:10 14:12 19:4 23:21 24:3 CR21000515-00 1:6 driver 6:2.10 11:3 14:16 27:21 28:8 24:12 26:15.19 29:20 CR21000516-00 1:7 14:17 15:17 16:18 firearms 13:22 29:22 30:1,16,19,20 first 5:8 8:11 24:9 33:6 CR21000517-00 1:7 20:18 21:12 38:3 31:1 32:6 33:5,18 CR21000738-00 1:8 driver's 14:20 42:19 five-minute 5:4 34:8,11,17,22 35:21 36:7,15 37:5,8,9,20 **crack** 13:7 drug 38:3,12 **Floor 2:12** cross 3:4 19:6 drugs 32:3 38:7 42:16 **FOLLOWS** 8:13 24:11 39:3,14 41:2 43:13 44:2 cross-body 6:14,19 Forensic 13:18 44:9,10 45:5,9,15,16 15:12 16:15 30:8 **Due** 17:18 forgotten 4:9 **HONORABLE** 1:22 CROSS-EXAMINATI... **DULY** 8:11 24:9 form 18:8 Houghton 37:18 38:22 14:13 28:17 duty 9:5 25:3 found 6:22 12:22 13:2 human 33:7 Crystal 10:3 23:5 34:11,13 38:1,5 Ε curb 6:10 38:8,19 39:1 41:5 **custody** 19:17 **Edwards** 32:21 33:2 43:21,22 44:2 idea 32:15 34:18 42:9 frame 5:11.20 identification 10:16,18 either 7:17 21:15 Francis 1:7 5:16 6:1 26:15,17 dangerous 21:16 employed 8:19,21 9:2 44:3 identified 11:3 15:1 front 10:22 13:1 26:21 dark 27:22 24:21 25:3 33:17 dark-colored 27:8 enact 35:11 27:7 identify 10:11 26:10 **date** 9:8 entire 40:21 fully 11:10 identifying 11:10 further 14:11 23:22 day 25:6,14,15 Esq 2:4,10 3:3,8,8 immediately 6:4 11:4 decided 35:2 established 32:2 39:7 28:16 15:2 21:5 deciding 35:5 39:19 important 33:4 G deemed 41:12 evade 39:9 40:14 inapt 35:6 defendant 1:8 2:9 10:21 everybody 31:9,10,15 Gatto 3:5 14:21 15:1 incident 6:21 23:7 30:5 40:14 41:6,10 included 33:17 35:16 32:2,14 24:5,7,19 28:19 43:16 44:19 45:3.8 exactly 44:22 aettina 40:16 includes 7:10 give 31:12 40:20 43:18 Defendant's 11:12 exam 19:6 including 40:21 deficiency 33:10 **EXAMINATION** 8:10,14 given 22:12 41:5 indented 40:20

indicate 12:2 27:14 28:4.10 individuals 33:12 indulgence 15:16 21:18 **information** 9:22 21:15 initially 9:14,16 11:2 27:2 inside 11:5,21 12:10 17:15,16,18,20 27:4 27:21 inspect 37:22 instance 36:7 **instances** 35:12,18 instant 44:2 instructed 21:6 36:21 intended 40:19 intersection 9:17 intimately 7:12 34:14 **irrelevant** 36:2 39:3 issue 5:20 32:5 35:2,6 42:5 **issued** 34:6 **issues** 5:11 item 40:10,15 items 35:17,21 38:6,9 39:22 40:18 41:5

#### J

JR 1:22 JUDGE 1:22 juncture 21:11 jury 4:11,20 justified 37:16 justify 37:13

## Κ

Katherine 2:4 3:8 kit 13:15 knew 41:17 knows 32:2

## L

L 2:4 language 34:18 lap 6:15 11:7,14 12:12 20:5 27:9 34:13 38:20 39:20 40:2 law 7:4 31:2,11,17 32:2 36:12 leave 6:14,16 11:15,18 16:15 17:11,22 18:11 18:21 20:1,1 30:10 32:14.17 36:21 39:4 42:15 leaving 23:16 left 19:15 42:22 legally 6:2 legitimate 38:14

Let's 42:12 letter 31:11,16 32:2 license 6:3 lights 9:18 line 40:18 little 41:9 loaded 12:12 localize 29:14 localized 43:17 locate 12:8 located 12:10,16 13:5 13:10 27:20,21 28:8 long 8:21 24:21 43:7 Louis 30:12 45:1,3 Luisa 14:17 20:21

М ma'am 4:5,5 9:4,7,11,13 10:5,10,22 11:11 12:7 12:21 13:13,19 14:1,4 15:8,11,15,21 16:2,5 16:8,17 18:3,13 20:5 20:8,11,14,17 21:5,10 22:5 23:4,8,17,20 mag 12:13 magazine 12:13,13 magistrate 34:5,7 36:6 man's 15:9 marijuana 6:5,8 11:5 12:17 15:3.18 16:4.7 16:10 17:16,19 18:8 20:10 22:1,4,7,11,16 23:1 27:3 29:10,15 36:15 37:13 38:16,19 39:2 40:11,12 43:15 43:16 mask 10:13 26:13 **MATTER** 1:4 45:18 **matters** 39:15 mean 5:14 17:12 18:4 42:11 meaning 42:6 **means** 7:9 men's 15:6 mentioned 41:2 Milane 2:4 3:8 4:3,15,22 5:6,8 8:15 10:19 14:11 23:14 24:4.14 24:17 26:14,18 28:16 29:20 30:16,20 37:8 39:14 44:10 45:9,15 minutes 4:14 Mirandize 28:1 mission 32:12 Molly 2:10 3:3,8 moment 5:15 13:21 morning 4:3,4 8:16

14:15 24:12,13,14,15

motion 1:16 4:8,12,20 14:6 37:6 44:6,7 move 45:10 Moving 13:20

### Ν

N 2:6 name 8:17,18 24:18 naturally 33:7 **NCIC** 9:20 neck 30:10,11,13 need 35:19 36:8 42:18 43.8 needs 41:20 neither 20:19 21:4 never 20:12,15 **NEWMAN 1:22 Newton** 2:10,11 3:3,8 4:4,6 5:1,10,14,18 14:5,9,14 19:4,7 21:18,19 23:9 28:18 29:17 30:1.7.14.19 31:1 42:6 44:8,12,14 44:17,20 45:2,4,12,16 night 19:19 nolle 45:10,14 **note** 10:15,17 26:14

0 O'CLOCK 45:17 object 23:15,18 38:1,12 39:2 41:10,12,15,18 objecting 41:17 objection 4:15 19:4 45:12,14 **objects** 33:9,13 observe 9:8 11:2 25:15 27:2,5 observed 11:6 27:3 occasion 12:5,19 27:17 28:1 occupants 10:6,8 11:8 26:5,7 27:10 31:13 occupation 24:18 October 9:2 25:2 31:4 odor 6:5,8 11:4 15:2 27:3 37:13 38:15 40:12 Office 2:5 officer 3:5 5:9 6:13 8:6 8:16,20 11:20 14:15 14:21,22 16:15 17:11 18:1,2,4,12,14,16 19:2,10,16 23:15 24:4 24:14 25:11,13,21 26:3 28:19 31:17 32:9 32:13 35:18 36:8 43:8 43:15

officers 6:4,16 11:11 21:9 31:4 35:12 36:9 37:21 38:16 once 11:14 19:14 21:9 one's 33:18 38:15 opening 3:2 5:11,19 operable 14:9 options 19:3,8 36:9,22 originated 17:16 owner 6:3 9:21

#### Р

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 pants 42:16,17 paraphernalia 38:3,7 38:12 Pardon 44:12 part 31:21 32:6,7 37:4 particularly 27:6 passenger 6:1 10:22 14:21 15:1 26:21,22 27:8 passenger's 38:6,21 passengers' 37:22 pat 20:21 21:12 **Patrick** 3:5 8:9,18 patrol 25:6 patted 20:19 21:2 43:19 people 21:16 permitted 35:13,14 person 7:8,10,13,16,19 7:20 8:2,2 12:20 15:19 16:20,21 17:4,8 20:4 22:17 23:7 29:15 31:22 32:7,8,17,19 33:3,7,16,18 34:1,8 34:10,14,15,16 35:8 35:15,16,20,22 36:17 36:18 37:1,3,4,16 39:9 40:7,9,15 42:7 42:11,13,14,22 43:3,5 43:12 44:3 person's 34:13 personal 33:9 persuades 35:1 placed 6:20 11:16 23:6 places 33:8 please 8:6,17 10:11,15 14:2 24:5,18 26:10,14 30:3 **PLLC** 2:11 pocket 6:22 13:1 pockets 33:8,11 point 6:17 17:5 23:3 31:18 32:21 36:19 37:2 43:21 44:4

points 33:4

police 7:2,20 8:20,22 reasons 11:20 17:12 salts 13:16 smelled 6:5 11:4 15:2 18:20 19:9,21 24:20 22:11,15 23:1 29:9 18:2,5,12,14,16 19:2 saying 40:15 42:14 32:11 33:22 36:11 19:11,17 32:9 37:5 scene 11:11 36:15 socks 34:12 37:21 39:4 40:4,20 recall 23:11 27:15,16 **Science** 13:18 **policy** 36:11 29:2,8 **scope** 7:8 33:3 34:10 solution 19:18 **RECESS** 5:2,7 sort 9:19 15:9 position 7:14 35:13 43:5 positive 13:16 record 10:15 26:14,16 screen 5:15 10:14 squarely 38:21 pouches 33:8 recovered 6:19 search 6:8 7:2,5,9,15 **STAND** 8:12 24:10 **powdery** 13:3,8 RECROSS 3:4 7:16,21 8:1 12:5,20 standing 37:12 40:4 prelim 22:7 red 9:18 16:20,21 17:2,7,13 41:15 preliminary 16:1,11 REDIR 3:4 18:5,8,15 20:13 21:7 start 19:14 20:2 redirect 23:13 29:19 29:4 22:3,12,14,21 23:3 started 5:5 present 5:17 reflects 26:16 27:17 31:7,12,13,15 starts 31:8 presented 35:19 Regardless 42:1 31:18,22 32:3,8 33:2 state 8:17 24:18 registered 6:3 9:21 statements 3:2 12:3 previously 28:11 33:19,21 34:1,2,4,6,7 primary 22:20 registrations 9:17,19 34:7,16,17,22 35:3,3 step 24:1 probable 7:2,9 8:1 relevant 40:1 35:9,9,14,14,20 36:1 stipulate 14:6 16:19 17:2,7 22:12 remain 23:11 stop 10:2 19:14 25:22 36:2,3,5,6,16,17,22 23:2 31:5,7,12,13,18 remedy 33:10 37:2,14,22 38:2,11,13 38:3.14 remember 12:4 15:11 33:21 34:21 36:1,5,13 38:17 39:2,10,22 40:5 stopped 9:18 31:15 36:17 37:2,21 38:11 15:15,21,22 16:3,5,8 40:6,11,14,21 41:10 story 36:14 38:16,17 39:7,19,22 16:9,13 20:22 22:5,6 41:13,16,19 42:1,2,10 strap 6:15 15:10,14 40:6,11 41:22 42:10 22:9,10 29:3,6 42:19,21 43:2,3,11,13 28:19,22 29:7 30:9,12 43:12,14 44:4 remove 1:15 4:7,19 43:14 44:5 **street** 37:12 problem 42:20 searched 6:11.18.19.21 strong 11:4 19:14 proceed 4:17 request 1:15 11:8 27:10 17:14.20 19:10 20:9 stuff 9:20 **PROCEEDINGS** 45:18 requiring 35:7 23:2.5.7 31:16 32:10 subject 23:11 38:10 properly 38:10 42:2 respect 34:9 36:19 38:5,9 41:18 39:21 40:10 41:22 pros 45:10 response 42:4 43:1,20 42:2 pros'd 45:14 returned 9:21 searching 22:8 23:18 **substance** 13:3,6,8,12 pulled 6:2 Richmond 9:9 25:16 37:16 40:18 suggests 35:6 purpose 39:16 riding 25:8,12 seat 10:22 11:17 12:18 Suite 2:7 purposes 14:6 rights 28:5 20:7 26:21,22 27:8 suppress 1:16 4:12,20 purse 12:17 15:7,9 20:6 road 2:6 40:4 42:20 14:7 37:7 20:9 28:19 29:7 42:19 rock-like 13:6.11 seated 10:21 26:20 **Supreme** 36:3 42:21 44:3,11,13 roll 14:19 secured 18:19 32:11 suspended 6:3 9:21 **purses** 33:13 **Rose** 1:7 2:9 5:12,16 seek 40:14 sworn 8:12 24:10 30:3 pursuant 7:3 35:3,4,9 6:1,9,12,20 10:13 **send** 4:9 30:5 35:15 44:1 12:1 13:6 14:19 15:14 separately 22:17 Т put 6:10 19:9 16:6,19 20:12,18 21:2 September 9:1 putting 19:18 21:22 23:1,15 26:20 **seven** 13:5 **T** 1:22 27:6,13 28:2 29:13 **shirt** 26:12 taq 9:20 Q short 5:2,7,11 taken 5:2,7 6:12 20:19 30:2,8 31:19 36:15 qualifies 38:21 37:11 39:4 41:11.15 shoulder 6:15 28:22 21:22 question 7:18 17:6 42:15 43:11 44:3,18 33:13 talk 34:20 45:6 side 10:14 14:20,21 talked 41:9 18:10 19:1 22:15,22 Rose's 11:7 12:11,20 21:7 30:13 40:4 talking 15:6,7 30:9 33:6 30:2 31:20 39:11 questions 23:10 29:18 32:7 38:20 43:3 similar 44:15 37:11 42:13,14 43:2 30:15,16,17 Ross 36:4 sit 21:6 taste 44:17 round 12:13,14,15 Quick 15:16 sitting 9:16 11:6 27:7 taught 19:22 rule 40:13 44:3 tell 28:7 32:14 42:15 R run 9:17 situation 37:11 41:3 term 7:8 33:3 43:5 six 25:1 raise 8:6 test 13:11,14,17 S small 13:2,5,7 tested 13:16 Ran 9:20 safety 11:20 16:16 **smell** 11:4 15:18 16:4,7 testified 8:13 18:11 reagent 13:15 16:10 17:15 18:7 22:1 reason 17:14 21:12 17:12 18:2.4.12.14.16 24:11 19:2,11,16 32:9 36:8 22:4,7 29:14 43:15,16 testifying 15:22 16:3,9 31:15 43:8 43:17 18:1 22:6,10 29:3,6 reasoning 35:5

11		
testimony 30:18	34:6 35:3,9,15 36:3,6	4
Thank 4:22 5:1,18	wasn't 41:7 42:21 43:21	40-caliber 12:14
10:20 23:9,21 24:3	way 4:13 40:17 43:4,7	
26:19 29:17,21,22	ways 32:12 43:9	<b>453</b> 13:10
30:14 37:8 44:8,10	weapons 11:22	
		5
45:4,15,16	wearing 10:13 26:12	<b>5</b> 3:3
third-party 41:4	Wednesday 1:10	<b>5200</b> 2:7
three 13:7	well- 32:1	
today 10:9 26:8	went 14:22 22:3 33:20	6
told 11:15 16:14 17:22	white 13:3,6,8,11	<b>677-8614</b> 2:13
18:11 31:1	WILLIAM 1:22	077-00142.13
top 10:14	Wilson 2:11	7
traffic 10:2 25:22 38:2	windows 14:19,22	
trained 19:13,16	wish 33:14	<b>703</b> 2:8,13
training 13:22 19:21,22	witness 5:8 8:12 24:10	8
25:13 32:13 36:10	24:12,15 29:22	<b>8</b> 3:5
trial 1:15 4:7	Witnesses 3:4	8th 2:12
Turner 2:11	Words 42:6	VIII 2. 12
two 10:7 13:2 26:6 38:5	work 40:19	9
type 34:2	wouldn't 31:5	
typically 9:17	Wyoming 37:18 38:22	<b>904</b> 13:15
U	X	
understand 7:4,22		
17:21 18:10 19:1,12	Y	
19:20 22:22 32:9	years 25:1	
34:19 36:8 43:6	yesterday 4:8	
understanding 4:17		
understood 28:4	Z	
unknown 11:21		
	0	
use 13:14		
V	1	
v 32:22 36:4 37:18	<b>10:04</b> 1:17 4:2	
38:22 41:2,16	11:00 4:8	
<b>VA</b> 2:7,12	<b>11:31</b> 45:17	
vehicle 7:22 8:1 9:15	14 3:5	
10:4,6,21 11:5,9,13	<b>1425</b> 2:6	
11:15,19 12:2,6,9,10	<b>17</b> 9:2 25:2	
12:16 17:2,5,15,17,19		
17:20 18:7 19:13,15	2	
19:15 20:1,2,2 22:14	<b>2019</b> 9:1 31:5	
22:18,20 26:2,5,20	<b>2020</b> 9:3 25:2	
27:1,4,11,14,18 29:10	<b>2022</b> 1:10	
31:7,12,14 32:1,16,18	<b>20Z</b> 12:12	
38:17,19 40:1,21 41:1	<b>21</b> 12:14	
41:22 42:1,7 43:17	<b>2111</b> 2:11	
Virginia 1:1,5,12 2:3	<b>22201</b> 2:7,12	
visible 38:3	<b>228-4410</b> 2:8	
voluntarily 42:22	<b>23</b> 1:10 3:5	
<b>VS</b> 1:6	<b>2300</b> 9:9 10:2 25:16	
Vuitton 30:12 45:1,3	<b>24</b> 3:5	
	<b>27</b> 12:12	
W		
waive 41:12	3	
waived 41:18	<b>30</b> 3:8 4:14	
wanted 5:19 40:5	<b>35</b> 3:8	
warrant 9:19 33:21 34:4		

# CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Virginia v. Francis Rose

Before: The Honorable William T. Newman Jr., Judge

Date: 02-23-22

Place: Arlington, Virginia

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Meae N Gors &